Received: November 19, 1985; accepted: December 30, 1985

DISTINCTIVE AND SOLVENT-DEPENDENT BEHAVIOUR OF SOME PER(CHLORO,FLUORO)ETHANES IN THEIR REACTIONS WITH PhSNa . COMPETING MECHANISTIC PATHWAYS IN HALOPHILIC REACTIONS [1]

LI Xing-ya*, PAN He-qi , FU Wei-min and JIANG Xi-kui

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Academia Sinica. 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai (China)

SUMMARY

Per(chloro,fluoro)ethanes CF_2XCCl_2Y (<u>1</u>) react spontaneously with PhSNa at room temperature or below to give $PhSCF_2CCl_2Y$ (<u>2</u>) in fair to good yield, which as well as the by-products $PhSCF_2CCl_3$ (<u>3</u>) and $PhSCF=CCl_2$ (<u>4</u>) (for <u>1b</u> and <u>1c</u>) are most probably produced by an anionic chain process initiated by the chlorophilic attack of PhS⁻ on C-Cl bonds. In case of <u>1c</u>, the coexistence of two competitive reaction pathways is indicated by the two products <u>2b</u> and $PhSCCl_2CF_3$ (<u>10</u>). The reaction rates and the product distribution have been found to be highly solvent-dependent. Products <u>2b</u>, <u>4</u> and <u>10</u> all react further with PhSNa to afford multi-substituted ethylenes PhSCF=C(Cl)SPh (<u>7</u>) (PhS)₂C=C(Cl)SPh (<u>8</u>) and (PhS)₂C=C(SPh)₂ (<u>9</u>).

INTRODUCTION

In the family of halogenoperfluoroalkanes, those containing only fluorine and chlorine are the least reactive in nucleophilic reactions. However, we recently reported [2] that 1,1,2-trifluoro-trichloroethane (<u>la</u>), 1,1-difluorotetrachloroethane (<u>lb</u>) and 1,1,1-trifluoro-trichloroethane (<u>lc</u>) all have been found to react spontaneously at room temperature with sodium thiophenoxide

0022-1139/86/\$3.50

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

to afford per(chloro,fluoro)alkyl phenyl sulfide $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$, as well as considerable amounts of disulfide $\underline{5}$.

PhSNa + CF_2XCCl_2Y PhSCF₂CCl₂Y + PhSCF₂CClHY + 1 2 3a: X = Cl, Y = F PhSCF=CCl₂ + PhSSPh b: X = Cl, Y = Cl 4 5c: X = F, Y = Cl

Phenyl vinyl sulfide $\underline{4}$ was also formed from the reactions of $\underline{1b}$ and $\underline{1c}$, but never from that of $\underline{1a}$. In this paper we wish to report not only these halophilic reactions in detail, but also the more interesting solvent dependency of the product distributions and the competing mechanistic pathways thus implicated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reactions with CF2ClCFCl2 (1a)

Owing to its low reactivity, few reactions of <u>la</u> with nucleophiles were known. In diglyme, <u>la</u> reacts very slowly with PhSNa to give <u>2a</u> and <u>3a</u> in poor yields. Addition of catalytic amounts of dibenzo-18-crown-6 shorten the reaction time several-fold and increase the yield of <u>2a</u> slightly. However, in polar aprotic solvents such as DMSO, HMPA and DMF, <u>la</u> reacts very smoothly with PhSNa to give excellent yield of the main product <u>2a</u> (see Table 1). Formation of the by-product <u>3a</u> actually becomes negligible, and the last few percentages of <u>5</u> might be derived from the oxidation of the thiophenoxide during experimental operations.

An anionic chain mechanism has been suggested (Scheme I). In comparison with other analogous mechanisms [3], Scheme I is characterized by the initial chlorophilic attack on C-Cl bonds by PhS⁻ and supported by the following facts: (1). The entering PhS group always ends up on CF_2 , in accordance with the usual regiospecific nucleophilic additions to fluoro olefins [4]. (2). GC detection of small amounts of the intermediate olefin CF_2 =CFCl.

TABLE 1

The reactions	of <u>la</u> with PhSNa	at room	temperature	a
PhSCF2CFC12	PhSCF ₂ CFC1H	PhSSPh		
2a	3a -	5		

	conditions		ફ	yield ^b	
solvent	additive	time(h)	<u>2a</u>	<u>3a</u>	<u>5</u>
Diglyme		48	13	6	25
Diglyme		168	44	9	32
Triglyme		24	68	7	17
DMSO		0.5	93(86)	0.9	3
НМРА		0.5	95	< l	1
DMF		0.5	89	1	4
DMF	H ₂ O(20 mmol)	48	16	18	38
DMF	CH ₃ OH(20 mmol)	48	10	8	31
DMF	C ₆ H ₅ NO ₂ (2.8 mmol)	0.5	85	1	7
DMF	<pre>styrene(2.6 mmol)</pre>	0.5	88	∠1	4

^a 3.78 mmol of PhSNa, 20 mmol of <u>la</u> and 7 ml of the solvent were used for each run. ^b Determined by GC or HPLC based on PhSNa; The number in parentheses is isolated yield.

$PhS^{-} + CF_2 XCCl_2 Y \longrightarrow PhSCl + [CF_2 XCCl Y^{-}]$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1)
$[CF_2XCClY^-]$ \longrightarrow $CF_2=CClY + X^-$	(2)
PhS ⁻ + CF ₂ =CClY PhSCF ₂ CClY ⁻	(3)
$\underline{6}$ + $\underline{1}$ \longrightarrow $\underline{2}$ + [CF ₂ XCClY ⁻]	(4)
$\underline{6}$ + H^+ -donor $\underline{3}$	(5)
PhS [−] + PhSCl <u>5</u> + Cl [−]	(6)
Scheme I	

(3). The formation of the hydrogenated by-product <u>3a</u> is incompatible with a S_N^2 attack on carbon. (4). The fact that water and other proton-donating species retard the reaction and increase the yield of <u>3a</u> serves also as good supporting evidence for the anionic pathways. (5). No inhibition was observed when nitrobenzene [5] or styrene [6] was added. That means a $S_{\rm RN}^1$ -like mechanism is rather improbable.

Reactions with CF2ClCCl3 (1b)

<u>lb</u> is much more reactive than <u>la</u> in the reactions with thiophenoxide. In polar aprotic solvents DMSO, HMPA and DMF, the reactions at room temperature are too fast to be followed by the usual GC analysis. Although they appeared to be instantaneous, the yields of the main product <u>2b</u> are not as high as that of <u>2a</u> both in glymes or in polar aprotic solvents while considerable amounts of phenyl vinyl ether <u>4</u> as well as <u>5</u> were formed (Table 2). However, low temperature reactions gave good yields of <u>2b</u>. Thus, when the reactions were carried out at -20° C and -55° C, the yields of <u>2b</u> increased respectively to 88% and 93% whereas the formations of <u>4</u> and <u>5</u> were suppressed.

Although the mechanism shown in Scheme I is also valid for the reactions of <u>lb</u>, it is now necessary to consider the β -scission of the intermediate carbanion <u>6</u> (here Y = Cl) to give <u>4</u>.

$$PhSCF_2CCl_2 \xrightarrow{\beta-scission} PhSCF=CCl_2 + F^-$$
(7)
6b, Y = Cl 4

Similar to the case of <u>la</u>, the reactions of <u>lb</u> with PhSNa are also retarded by water. Surprisingly, however, in this case it was the yield of the vinyl sulfide <u>4</u> which was notably increased, whereas the yield of the hydrogenated product <u>3b</u> was only slightly enhanced, even though more than <u>l0</u> molar equivalents of water were added (Table 2).

Side reaction (7) is the main cause of the low yields of $\underline{2b}$ since, as one of the terminations steps , it will shorten the reaction chain and indirectly favor the formation of more disulfide 5. Thus, by forming one mole of $\underline{4}$, three moles of

The reactions	of	<u>lb</u> with PhSNa	а	
PhSCF2CC13		PhSCF ₂ CCl ₂ H		$PhSCF=CCl_2$
<u>2b</u>		<u>3b</u>		<u>4</u>

	conditio	ons			¥)	vield ^k	>	
solvent	additive	temp.(^O C)	t	ime	<u>2b</u>	<u>3b</u>	<u>4</u>	5
Diglyme		r.t.	24	h	28	4	17	46
Triglyme		r.t.	4	h	39	3	14	41
DMSO		r.t.	5	min	46	8.0	12	28
НМРА		r.t.	5	min	29	1	19	46
DMF		19	5	min	51	0.6	12	24
DMF		-20	15	min	88	0.2	3	8
DMF		-55	15	min	93(73)	0	1	6
DMF	C ₆ H ₅ NO ₂ (2.8 mmol)	r.t.	5	min	52	0.8	11	26
DMF	styrene	r.t.	5	mín	49	0.7	12	28
DMSO	H ₂ O (40 mmol)	r.t.	24	h	9	2	23	53
DMF	PhSO ₂ Na	r.t.	5	min	52	0.7	10	trace

^a PhSNa (3.79 mmol), <u>1b</u> (23 mmol) and 7 ml of the solvent were used for each run, except for the trap experiment (see footnote [c]).

- ^b Yields were determined by GC or HPLC analyses and based on the starting PhSNa. The number in parentheses is isolated yield.
- C PhSO₂SPh (3.8 mmol, 25% towards PhSNa) was isolated from the reaction mixture of PhSNa (15.2 mmol), <u>lb</u> (31 mmol) and PhSO₂Na (35 mmol) in 40 ml of DMF.

PhSNa will be exhausted. The fact that lowering the reaction temperature increases the yields of $\underline{2b}$ and depresses the formation of $\underline{4}$ is intelligible based on the competition between reactions (4) and (7), because lowering temperature often favours bimolecular rather than unimolecular reactions.

Further reactions of the product 2b

Another complication of the reactions of <u>lb</u> arises from the high reactivity of the main product <u>2b</u> towards PhSNa. If <u>lb</u> is not in great excess, bis-, tris- and tetra -(phenylthio)ethylenes <u>7</u>, <u>8</u> and <u>9</u> are also formed. When PhSNa is in great excess, <u>9</u> and disulfide <u>5</u> will be the only products. Scheme II can rationalize the formation of <u>7</u>, <u>8</u> and <u>9</u>. This mechanism is supported by the experiments using <u>2b</u> as the

$$PhSCF_{2}CCl_{3} + PhS^{-} \longrightarrow PhSCl + PhSCF_{2}CCl_{2}^{-} (8)$$

$$\underline{2b} \qquad \underline{6b} \qquad \underline{6b} \qquad \underline{6b} \qquad (7)$$

$$\underline{4} \qquad \underline{4} + PhS^{-} \longrightarrow PhSCF-C(Cl)_{2}SPh$$

$$PhSCF-C(Cl)_{2}SPh \qquad (0)$$

•	PhSCF-C(CI) ₂ SPh	 PhSCF=C(C1)SPh	(9)
		7	

$$\frac{7}{2} + PhS^{-} - (PhS)_{2}CF - \overline{C}(C1)SPh$$

$$(PhS)_{2}CF - \overline{C}(C1)SPh - F^{-} (PhS)_{2}C = C(C1)SPh (10)$$

$$\frac{8}{2}$$

$$\frac{8}{2} + PhS^{-} - (PhS)_{2}\overline{C} - C(C1)(SPh)_{2}$$

$$(PhS)_{2}\overline{C} - C(C1)(SPh)_{2} - C1^{-} (PhS)_{2}C = C(SPh)_{2}$$
(11)
$$Scheme II - \frac{9}{2}$$

substrate. Thus, by adding PhSNa to the DMF solutions of $\underline{2b}$, all the intermediate products $\underline{4}$, $\underline{7}$ and $\underline{8}$ were formed at beginning. When more PhSNa were added, $\underline{7}$ and $\underline{8}$ disappeared rapidly and were all converted to $\underline{9}$ eventually. The formation of disulfide $\underline{5}$ provides an evidence for the chlorophilic attack of PhS⁻ on $\underline{2b}$ (eqn. 8). The stoichiometry is in good agreement with Scheme II. Furthermore, when the vinyl sulfide $\underline{4}$ was

allowed to react with PhSNa, we got the same products $\underline{7}$, $\underline{8}$ and $\underline{9}$ as expected by Scheme II.

Reactions with CF3CCl3 (1c). Two competitive pathways.

If the reactions of <u>lc</u> with PhSNa are also through an anionic chain mechanism as shown in Scheme I, one would expect that the products should be the same as those from reactions of <u>lb</u>, <u>i.e.</u> main product PhSCF₂CCl₃ (<u>2c</u> = <u>2b</u>) and by-products PhSCF₂CCl₂H (<u>3c</u> = <u>3b</u>), PhSCF=CCl₂ (<u>4</u>) and <u>5</u>. This proved to be the case when the reactions were carried out in diglyme. However, surprising results were obtained when the reactions were carried out in polar aprotic solvents like DMSO, HMPA and DMF. In these cases, the main products were PhSCCl₂CF₃ (<u>10</u>) and PhSCF=CClSPh (<u>7</u>) while <u>2b</u> and <u>4</u> were found only in trace amounts (less than l%). As proved later, <u>7</u> is derived from <u>10</u> (vide infra).

Product <u>10</u> is mechanistically meaningful because it contains a CF_3 group, which apparently remains unchanged during the reaction course and, in contrast to all other products, the entering PhS group ends up on CCl_2 instead of CF_2 . Evidently, Scheme I is not fit to explain the formation of <u>10</u>.

A radical chain mechanism like $S_{\rm RN}$ l is unlikely since no notable effects were observed when the reactions were carried out in the dark, by exposure to air or in the presence of 20 mol % of nitrobenzene or styrene, which has recently been prover to be a good inhibitor for the reactions of PhSNa with $R_{\rm F}$ I [6]. However, a non-chain mechanism involving SET process (Scheme III) could not be ruled out. The difficulty of accepting



PhSCCl2CF3

Scheme III

m	
Щ	
g	
Ā	

The reacti	ions of <u>lc</u> with	PhSNa at	room temp	erature	m A				
PhSCF2CC13	PhSCF2CC	с1 ₂ н	PhSCC12C	РЗ БЗ	PhSC	F=CC1S	Рh		
<u>2c</u> (= <u>2b</u>)	<u>3c</u> (= <u>3</u>	(वृ	10			7			
con	ditions				040	yiel	a F		
solvent	additive	time	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u> 5	4	2	7	07	7+10
Diglyme		48 h	45	0.1	4	32	13	2	18
Tetraglyme		4 h	27	0.1	4	30	21	6	30
DMF		5 min	0.3	0.1	0.8	38	34	19	53
нмра		5 min	0.5	0.1	0.4	28	22	32	54
DMSO		5 min	0.6	0.1	1.5	40	30	13	43
DMF	H ₂ O(20 mmol)	1 h	0.5	0.1	0.1	54	30	13	43
DMF	сн ₃ он (20	1 h	0.2	0.1	0.1	69	4	7	11
	mmol)								
DMF	styrene(2.6	5 min	0.3	0.1	0.2	38	30	17	47
	mmol)								
DMF	с ₆ н ₅ ио ₂ (2.8	5 min	0.3	0.1	0.2	39	30	17	47
	mmol)								
a See footr	note [a] of Tab	1. - 1.							
		•							
"See foot!	note [b] of Tab.	le 1.							

this mechanism is to explain why the reactions of <u>la</u> and <u>lb</u> do not seem to follow this pathway. Instead, a somewhat better rationalization could be given based on the competition of the reaction of carbanion $CF_3CCl_2^-$ with PhSCl (the attack on S of $CF_3CCl_2^-$ probably occurs mostly in cage) and the β -elimination of F⁻ from $CF_3CCl_2^-$ (which most likely occurs in the bulk of the solution.).

PhS⁻ +
$$\underline{lc}$$
 — PhSC1, $\overline{CC1_2CF_3}$ B PhSCC1₂CF₃ PhS⁻ 7
A diffuse
PhSC1 + $\overline{CC1_2CF_3}$ -F $CC1_2=CF_2$
 \downarrow + PhS⁻ \downarrow + PhS⁻
PhSSPh PhSCF₂CC1₂
 \downarrow C1-abs.
2b

Scheme IV

As shown in Scheme IV, there are two competitive pathways, path A finally gives <u>2b</u> and path B leads to the formation of <u>10</u> When the solvent is changed from diglyme to tetraglyme to DMF, DMSO and HMPA, path B might be favoured owing to the growing importance of the cage reaction.

Scheme V

In the cases of <u>la</u> and <u>lb</u> (Scheme V), the related carbanion $CF_2ClCFCl^-$ and $CF_2ClCCl_2^-$ are much less stable than $CF_3CCl_2^-$ [7] because they both have a chlorine, a much better leaving group compared to fluorine, on the β -carbon and could immediately undergo β -elimination after their formation. Therefore, all the products are derived from the intermediate olefins $CF_2=CClY$.

To support the rationalization given above, efforts have been made to detect the expected volatile products, i.e. CF2=CYCl and CF2XCYClH. GC analysis actually showed the existence of CF_2 =CFCl and CF_2 =CCl₂ in the reaction systems of <u>la</u> and 1b, respectively, but never of the protonation products of the carbanions 6, namely CF2ClCFClH and CF2ClCCl2H, even when the reactions were carried out at -65° C or in the presence of water or t-butanol. This fact indicates that the chlorophilic attack by PhS on la or lb might lead to concerted formation of the olefin CF_2 =CYCl or that the carbanions formed must be extremely short-lived. In contrast, it is not CF2=CCl2 but CF₃CCl₂H that was found in the reaction solutions of <u>lc</u>. Only in diglyme/crown ether system, trace amounts of CF2=CCl2 were detected besides considerable amounts of CF₃CCl₂H. These results are in accordance with the expectation that CF_3CCl_2 is really an intermediate of the reactions of 1c.

Further Reactions of 10 with PhS Na⁺

Product <u>10</u> has been found to react very fast with PhSNa at room temperature forming $\underline{7}$ and disulfide $\underline{5}$. Scheme VI shows a possible mechanism for the reactions.

PhSCCl₂CF₃ + PhS⁻
$$\longrightarrow$$
 PhSC̄ClCF₃ + PhSCl $\xrightarrow{PhS^-}$ 5
 $-F^{-} \downarrow^{\underline{11}}$
PhSCCl=CF₂ $\underline{12}$
 \downarrow PhS⁻
PhSC̄Cl-C(F₂)SPh $\xrightarrow{-F^-}$ 2
 $\underline{13}$

Scheme VI

We do find considerable amounts of $\underline{7}$ in the reaction system of $\underline{1c}$ with PhSNa. As described in above section, $\underline{7}$ could also be derived from the reaction of $\underline{2b}$ with PhSNa. In order to establish whether the product $\underline{7}$ found in the reaction systems of $\underline{1c}$ is derived from $\underline{2b}$ or from $\underline{10}$ or from both, a competition experiment was conducted by adding a mixture of $\underline{2b}$ and $\underline{10}$ into the DMF solution of PhSNa (molar ratio of $\underline{2b}:\underline{10}:PhSNa = 1:1:1$). The GC analysis showed that 74% of $\underline{10}$ had disappeared within $\underline{1}$ min while $\underline{2b}$ did not change at all. That means if there is an excess of $\underline{10}$ in the system, $\underline{2b}$ will not be able to react with PhSNa and, therefore, all the product $\underline{7}$ found in the reaction system of $\underline{1c}$ and PhSNa must be produced only by the further reactions of $\underline{10}$ with PhSNa.

Trapping of PhSCl by PhSO2

By Scheme I we have suggested that the formation of PhSSPh is a consequence of the reaction of PhS⁻ with PhSC1. If this is true it might be possible to trap the PhSC1 with phenylsulfinate ion [8]. Indeed, this could be accomplished if the reaction of <u>1b</u> with PhSNa was conducted in the presence of 2.3 molar equivalents of PhSO₂Na. Data in TABLE 2 showed that PhSO₂SPh (<u>11</u>) formed in a yield of 25% relative to the starting PhSNa while the formation of PhSSPh is cut out. This result may represent the highest efficiency observed so far among such kind of trappings [8,9].

However, the trapping experiments in the reaction systems of <u>la</u> and <u>lc</u> failed to give unambiguous results as only small or trace amounts of <u>ll</u> were detected. Actually, further experiments have demonstrated that the amounts of <u>ll</u> detectable in the system depend on the competitiveness of the other species, e.g. <u>la</u> <u>lb</u> or <u>lc</u>, in their reactions with PhS⁻. For the least reactive <u>la</u>, even when it exists in large excess, PhS⁻ will almost exclusively attack on <u>ll</u> and annihilate it from the system. In the case of <u>lc</u>, when 10:1 molar ratio of lc and <u>ll</u> was allowed to

PhSO₂SPh + PhS⁻ very fast PhSSPh + PhSO₂ 11 DMF react with 1.1 molar equivalent of PhSNa, 98% of the <u>11</u> disappeared within one minute. Only the most reactive <u>1b</u> can win the competition, as indicated by the fact that only 37% of <u>11</u> disappeared after reaction under the same conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

Sodium thiophenoxide reacts spontaneously with per(chloro, fluoro)ethanes <u>1</u> to give per(chloro,fluoro)alkyl phenyl sulfides <u>2</u> and <u>3</u>. <u>2a</u> and <u>2b</u> can be prepared in good yield by using some polar aprotic solvent as the reaction media. An anionic chain mechanism involving the chlorophilic attack on C-Cl bonds by PhS (Scheme I) is favored for these reactions although for the reaction of CF_2Cl_2 with PhSNa under similar conditions a $S_{\rm RN}l$ -like mechanism has recently been proposed by Wakselman and Tordeux [5]. The coexistence of two competing reaction pathways in the reactions of <u>1c</u> is indicated by the two products <u>2b</u> and <u>10</u>, which differ from each other by the orientation of the entering PhS group. The product <u>2b</u> is most likely formed from an anionic chain mechanism, but <u>10</u> might be formed in a competitive pathway in which the much longer-lived CF_3CCl_2 attacks a PhSCl molecule instead of losing its β -fluorine.

EXPERIMENTAL

 1 H-NMR (60 MHz) and 19 F-NMR (56.4MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian EM-360L NMR Spectrometer with TMS and CFCl₃ as internal and external references, respectively. Mass spectra were obtained with a Finnigan 4021 GC-MS apparatus. Quantitative GC analyses of the products were achieved with a Varian-3700 Gas Chromatograph calibrated by authentic sample. The HPLC analyses were accomplished on a Waters Model 244 HPLC apparatus using a 10 cm C18 column with Methanol or Ethanol/H₂O mixture as the eluant. All the melting and boiling points are uncorrected.

<u>Material</u>

<u>la</u>, commercial, was purified by washing with conc. H_2SO_4 followed by fractional distillation. <u>lb</u> and <u>lc</u> were prepared from <u>la</u> by a literature method [10]. The solvents were purified by usual procedures [11] and stored over molecular sieves under argon. PhSNa was prepared by the reaction of Thio-

phenol with sodium in ether/diglyme (10:1). The precipitated PhSNa was filtered out, washed with ether, and finally dried under vacuum (1 torr) at 80 to 100° C for 8 h.

General procedure for the reactions of PhSNa with 1

The reaction flask was flamed and cooled to room temperature under argon before loading PhSNa in a dry box. The solvent was then injected into the flask through the serum cap. After 10 min stirring, the substrate <u>1</u> was added by syringe. The reactions were followed by GC or HPLC, and the GC or HPLC yields were determined before work-up. The resultant material was poured into water and then extracted with carbon tetrachloride. Pure products were separated by either distillation or centrifugal TLC.

Preparation of 2a

A mixture of <u>la</u> (14.2 g, 75.1 mmol), PhSNa (5.0 g, 37.9 mmol) in DMSO (40 ml), was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Work-up and distillation ($61-3^{O}C/l$ torr) gave <u>2a</u> (8.5 g, 32.4 mmol 86%). Analysis: Found: C, 37.13; H, 1.88; Cl, 26.96; F, 21.74; S, 12.70%. C₈H₅Cl₂F₃S requires: C, 36.80; H, 1.93; Cl, 27.16; F, 21.83; S, 12.28%.

Preparation of <u>2b</u>

A mixture of PhSNa (20.0 g, 0.15 mol) in 150 ml DMF and <u>1b</u> (100.0 g, 0.485 mol) were allowed to react at $-55^{\circ}C$ for 15 min. Work-up and distillation gave pure product <u>2b</u> 30.5 g (0.110 mol, 73%), b.p. $64-6^{\circ}C/0.2$ torr, m.p. 22.5-23.5°C. Analysis: Found: C, 34.62; H, 1.76; F, 14.11; C1, 38.31; S, 11.55%. $C_8H_5F_2Cl_3S$ requires: C, 34.62; H, 1.82; F, 13.69; C1, 38.32; S, 11.55%.

Preparation of 4, 8 and 9

50.0 g PhSH (0.455 mol) and 60 g (1.07 mol) KOH were stirred in 155 ml DMSO at room temperature for 15 min. When 93 g (0.455 mol) <u>lb</u> were added the temperature of the mixture went up to about 90° C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, and then saturated with 250 ml water followed by extraction with $CF_2ClCFCl_2$ (2x25 ml). The crude <u>9</u> precipitate was filtered out. The organic layer was washed by water and stood at -20^oC over night. After removal of the precipitate (PhSSPh mainly), the filtrate was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and distilled to afford <u>4</u> (8.0 g, 0.036 mol 7.9%), b.p. 76-9^oC/1 torr. Pure <u>8</u> (0.14 g, 0.36 mmol) was separated from the distillation residue by centrifugal TLC with petroleum ether/CHCL₃ (10:1) as the eluant. Analysis: Found: C, 62.31; H, 3.85; Cl, 8.95; S, 25.16%. $C_{20}H_{15}ClS_3$ requires: C, 62.07; H, 3.91; Cl, 9.16; S, 24.86%. The crude <u>9</u> (21 g), washed with warm petroleum ether, was recrystallized from CHCl₃ to give pure sample (14.1 g, 30.6 mmol, 27%), m.p. 154-155^oC Analysis: Found: C, 67.69; H, 4.20; S, 27.66%. $C_{26}H_{20}S_4$ requires: C, 67.79; H, 4.38; S, 27.84%.

Preparation of 10 and 7

<u>lc</u> (128.0 g, 0.677 mol) was added to a mixture of PhSH (25.0 g, 0.227 mol) and KOH (19.0 g, 0.34 mol) in 200 ml DMF cooled with an ice bath. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then poured into water (250 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted with $CF_2ClCFCl_2$ (2x25 ml). The combined organic phase was washed with water (3x100 ml), stood at -20°C over night and filtered. The filtrate was distilled to give <u>10</u> (6.6 g, mol, 11%), b.p. 69-70°C/2.5 torr.

The precipitate was shown by HPLC to contain mainly 5 and 2, as well as small amounts of 8 and 9. By a cycle of recrystallization -- centrifugal TLC -- recrystallization, crude 2 (4 g, 0.013 mol, 6%) was isolated as a mixture of cis- and trans- isomers which were further separated by fractional crystallization from pentane into isomer A (m.p. 47.5-48.5°C, 19 F \mathcal{S} = -68 ppm. Analysis: Found: C, 56.75; H, 3.23; Cl, 12.01; F, 6.73; S, 21.28%. C₁₄H₁₀ClFS₂ requires: C, 56.65; H, 3.40; Cl, 11.94; F, 6.40; S, 21.60%.) and isomer B (m.p. 33.0-33.5°C, 19 F \mathcal{S} = -77 ppm. Analysis: Found: C, 57.35; H, 3.43; Cl, 10.90; F, 7.02; S, 21.78%. C₁₄H₁₀ClFS₂ requires: see above.). The isomer A is tentatively designated as trans while isomer B as cis in consideration of the facts that (a) isomer A has a higher melting point and (b) isomer B is thermodynamically less stable and can be transformed into isomer A by heating as indicated by 19 F-nmr study.

TABLE 4

The NMR Spectral Data of the Products

	l _{H-nmr}	19 _{F-nmr} a
PhSCF ₂ CFCl ₂ 2a	6.9-7.5(m)	-84(d, J = 13 Hz, 2F) -71(t, J = 13 Hz, 1F)
$\frac{F^{1}F^{3}}{PhSC-C-C1}$ $F^{2}H$ $\frac{3a}{2}$	5.70(ddd, J = 47 Hz, 7.2 Hz, 4.7 Hz, 1H) 6.9-7.5(m, 5H)	ABMX system: $S_F^{1} = -86$, $\delta_F^{2} = -90 (J_{12} = 224 \text{ Hz}, J_{13} = J_{23} = 18.8 \text{ Hz}, J_{F^1 H} = 4.7 \text{ Hz}, J_{F^2 H} = 7.2 \text{ Hz}), S_F^{3} = -148 (dt, J_{F^3 H} = 47 \text{ Hz}, J_{13} = J_{23} = 18.8 \text{ Hz})$
PhSCF ₂ CCl ₃ 2b	6.9-7.5(m)	-80(s)
PhSCF ₂ CCl ₂ H <u>3b</u>	5.47(t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H) 6.9-7.5(m, 5H)	-82(d, J = 7.2 Hz)
PhSCC1 ₂ CF ₃ 10	6.7-7.3(m)	-76(s)
PhSCF=CC1 ₂ $\underline{4}$	6.9-7.5(m)	-85 (s)
PhSCF=C(Cl)SPh 7 cis-:	7.4(broad s)	-77(s)
trans-:	7.4(broad s)	-68(s)
(PhS) ₂ C=CC1SPh <u>8</u>	7.0-7.3(m)	
(PhS) ₂ C=C(SPh) ₂ <u>9</u>	7.06(s)	

^a Using CCl $_3$ F as external standard (negative upfield).

TABLE 5

The Mass Spectral Data of the Products

262(10), 260(16,M ⁺), 159(100,PhSCF ₂ ⁺), 109(40,PhS ⁺)
228(12), 226(39,M ⁺), 159(100,PhSCF ⁺ ₂), 109(53,PhS ⁺)
280(5.6), 278(18), 276(17,M ⁺), 159(100,PhSCF [*] ₂),
109(34,PhS ⁺)
244(23), 242(34,M ⁺), 159(100,PhSCF ⁺ ₂), 110(10),
109(48,PhS ⁺)
264(6.4), 262(31), 260(49,M ⁺), 227(24), 225(68,M ⁺ -C1),
109(100,PhS ⁺)
226(6.6), 224(32), 222(50,M ⁺), 189(5.3), 187(13,
M ⁺ -C1), 152(100,M ⁺ -2C1), 109(14.4)
cis-: 298(43), 296(100,M ⁺), 187(5.7), 152(12), 121(
15), 109(7.4)
trans-: 298(20), 296(50,M ⁺), 187(18,M ⁺ -PhS), 186(22),
152(100,PhSCFC ⁺), 121(65,PhSC ⁺), 109(24,PhS ⁺)
388(4), 386(8.3,M ⁺), 201(10.5), 199(15), 170(9),
168(24), 123(100), 109(24)
460(100,M ⁺), 273(30), 242(75,M ⁺ -2PhS), 165(13), 121(
21), 110(8), 109(50)

Trapping of PhSCl by PhSO2Na

6.4 g <u>1b</u> (31 mmol) were added to a mixture of PhSNa (2.0 g, 15.2 mmol) and PhSO₂Na (5.8 g, 35 mmol) in 40 ml DMF. After stirring at room temperature for 30 min., the GC and HPLC analyses showed the formation of <u>2b</u> (7.9 mmol, 52%), <u>4</u> (1.5 mmol, 10%), trace amounts of <u>5</u> and large amounts of PhSO₂SPh. After work-up to remove the DMF, the resultant mixture was evaporated then dissolved in petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (10:1), stood at -20^oC over night, to afford the crude PhSO₂SPh which was recrystallized from MeOH/petroleum ether/CHCl₃ (1:3:2) to give the pure sample (0.95 g, 3.8 mmol, 25%) m.p. 44.0-44.5^oC (lit. 45^oC). Analysis: Found: C, 57.34; H, 3.95; S, 25.81%. C₁₂H₁₀O₂S₂ requires: C, 57.57; H, 4.03; S, 25.62%.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Professor C. J. M. Stirling (North Wales) for advice on trapping of the sulfenyl halide by Benzenesulfinate ion.

REFERENCES

- 1 Part 5 of 'Halophilic Reactions', Part 4, X.-Y. Li, H.-Q. Pan, X.-K. Jiang and Z.-Y. Zhan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., (1985), in press;
- 2 X.-Y. Li, H.-Q. Pan, and X.-K. Jiang, Acta Chemica Sinica, <u>42</u> (1984) 297;
- 3 P. Bey, J. P. Vevert, V. V. Dorsselaer and M. Kolb, J. Org. Chem., <u>44</u> (1979) 2732; I. Rico and C. Wakselman, J. Fluorine Chem., <u>20</u> (1982) 759;
- 4 R. D. Chambers, 'Fluorine in Organic Chemistry', N.Y., Wiley, 1973, p. 150;
- 5 C. Wakselman and M. Tordeux, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1984) 793;
- 6 A. E. Feiring, J. Fluorine Chem., 24 (1984) 191;
- 7 J. Hine, R. Wiesboeck and O. B. Ramsay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>83</u> (1961) 1222; J. Hine and P. B. Langford, J. Org. Chem. <u>27</u> (1962) 4149;
- 8 B. R. Fishwick, D. K. Rowles and C. J. M. Stirling, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., (1983) 834;
- 9 C. D. Beadle, W. R. Bowman and J. Prousek, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>25</u> (1984) 4979;
- 10 K. Okuhara, J. Org. Chem., 43 (1978) 2745;
- 11 D. D. Perrin, W. L. F. Armarego and D. R. Perrin, 'Purification of Laboratory Chemicals', 2nd ed., Pergamon, Oxford, 1980.